

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNIDED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Atta-agria, Triginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY BOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,010	09/21/2000	Uve Hansmann	IBM-116	8803
75	90 10/24/2006		EXAM	IINER
Thomas A Beck 26 Rockledge Lane New Milford, CT 06776			MOORTHY, ARAVIND K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	•

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/667,010	HANSMANN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aravind K. Moorthy	2131			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exten after 3 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 August 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application	on Papers					
9) 🗆 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 September 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting the correction is objected to by the Extended to by the Extended to build the correction is objected to by the Extended to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to build the correction in the correction is objected to be a corrected	•				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This is in response to the amendment filed on 16 August 2006.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are pending in the application.
- 3. Claims 1-11 have been rejected.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claims 1 and 11 have been amended to include the limitations of "said authentication comprising temporary deactivation which adds authorization patterns prior to said operation" and "non split-key". Neither of these limitations are supported by the specification as originally disclosed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-4, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tayloe U.S. Patent No. 5,933,785.

As to claim 1, Tayloe discloses a method for setting basic means of access for operation of devices of which the operation is controllable by electronic means, comprising:

the devices comprising mobile phones, small computer-controlled consumer devices with relatively low level of computing power, computers, motor vehicles, control terminals for industrial processes, all of which devices may require authentication prior to operation [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7], the authentication comprising temporary deactivation which adds authorization patterns prior to the operation [column 6, lines 27-34];

establishment of a non split-key link between a personal authentication system supplied with encryption data and a logic system able to control an electronic device control, the encryption data being

stored solely in the authentication system, the link between the authentication system and the device being via wired or wireless means [column 3, lines 39-49].

checking the encryption data in the authentication system prior to operation of the electronic device control [column 3, lines 39-49];

assignment of a plurality of predetermined means of access to the electronic device control associated with the authentication system the predetermined means providing access to physical hardware resources and access to different software functions, based on the privileges of the user who identified himself to the system, the software function evaluates a security token and is running on top of the physical hardware [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7];

enabling of the means for access predetermined for the authentication system dependent on the result of the check [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7].

As to claim 2, Tayloe discloses that the basic means of access to functions of the device comprise at least one of the following means: disable operation of the devices, enable operation of the devices, or enable configuration of the devices [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7].

As to claim 3, Tayloe discloses that the link is made without need for intermediate software layers [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7].

As to claim 4, Tayloe discloses in addition, the step of reading at least one of the following features embodied within the authentication system: firmware programs,

device-specific command sequences for execution of specific device-specific functions, cryptographic keys, cryptographic algorithms, and individual decision-making logic [column 3, lines 39-49].

As to claim 10, Tayloe discloses program code areas for the execution or preparation for execution of the steps when the program is installed in a computer [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7].

As to claim 11, Tayloe discloses a method for setting basic means of access for operation of devices of which the operation is controllable by electronic means, comprising:

the devices comprising computer-controlled consumer devices with relatively low level of computing power, computers, motor vehicles, control terminals for industrial processes, all of which devices may require authentication prior to operation the authentication comprising deactivation which adds authorization patterns prior to the operation [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7];

establishment of a non split-key link between a personal authentication system supplied with encryption data and a logic system able to control an electronic device control, the encryption data being stored solely in the authentication system, the link between the authentication system and the device being via wired or wireless means [column 3, lines 39-49].

checking the encryption data in the authentication system prior to operation of the electronic device control [column 3, lines 39-49];

assignment of a plurality of predetermined means of access to the electronic device control associated with the authentication system the predetermined means providing access to physical hardware resources and access to different software functions, based on the privileges of the user who identified himself to the system, the software function evaluates a security token and is running on top of the physical hardware [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7];

enabling of the means for access predetermined for the authentication system dependent on the result of the check [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7].

the method providing means of no access or full access and allow more finely defined levels of access as defined in a user profile for configuration or maintenance work [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tayloe U.S. Patent No. 5,933,785 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Findikli et al U.S. Patent No. 6,415,144 B1.

As to claim 5, Tayloe does not teach that the method includes configuration of the devices, by authorized persons. Tayloe does not teach that after successful authentication, device-specific configuration data are downloaded into the devices from the authentication system in accordance with the authentication systems or over a network.

Findikli et al teaches configuration of the devices, by authorized persons [column 1 line 61 to column 2 line 5]. Findikli et al teaches that device-specific configuration data are downloaded into the devices from the authentication system in accordance with the authentication systems or over a network [column 1 line 61 to column 2 line 5].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Tayloe so that the method would have included configuration of the devices, by an authorized persons. After successful authentication, device-specific configuration data would have been downloaded into the

devices from the authentication system in accordance with the authentication systems or over a network.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Tayloe by the teaching of Findikli et al because over-the-air teleservices provide the radio telecommunications system operators with greater flexibility in tailoring wireless devices to meet the needs of their subscribers [column 2, lines 6-10].

As to claim 6, Tayloe teaches execution setting basic means of access for operations [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7].

As to claim 7, Tayloe teaches authentication of a person or a group of people [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7].

As to claim 8, Tayloe teaches that the authentication system is implemented in the form of a Smartcard [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7].

As to claim 9, Tayloe teaches setting basic means of access for operation of devices of which the operation is controllable by electronic means, including at least one device and an authentication system [column 2 line 59 to column 3 line 7].

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aravind K. Moorthy whose telephone number is 571-272-3793. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,010

Art Unit: 2131

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aravind K Moorthy Cotober 17, 2006

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100